

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.  9D-HL-19136- 4501	
09/480,586	01/10/2000	Eddie Woodrow Dooley		
John S Beulick	7590 10/09/2007	EXAMINER		
Armstrong Teasdale LLP			BINDA, GREGORY JOHN	
One Metropolitan Square Suite 2600			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
St. Louis, MO 63102			3679	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	•		10/09/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application	No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/480,586		DOOLEY ET AL.			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Greg Binda		3679			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
WHIC - Exter - after - If NO - Failu Any i	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE in a solution of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. To period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS 36(a). In no eveni will apply and will o , cause the applic	S COMMUNICATION t, however, may a reply be tim expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to ation to become ABANDONED	I. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 September 2007</u> .						
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) 17-20 is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>							
Applicati	ion Papers						
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 October 2002</u> is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	: a)∏ accep drawing(s) be tion is required	held in abeyance. See d if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmen	nt(s)						
2) Notice 3) Information	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date 10/4/02.	:	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate			

#### Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of a hub assembly in the reply filed on September 6, 2007 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the search and examination required of the entire application would not pose serious burden on the examiner. This is not found persuasive because the search and examination of every invention claimed would pose serious burden on the examiner for all the reason noted in the restriction requirement mailed August 27, 2007.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

2. Claims 17-20 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on September 6, 2007.

### Drawings

3. The drawings are objected to because in Fig. 1 the lowermost instance of numeral 24 should be deleted. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the

Application/Control Number: 09/480,586 Page 3

Art Unit: 3679

remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claim 6 & 12-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claims 6 & 12 recite the limitation "said interior surface". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

<sup>(</sup>b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

<sup>(</sup>e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for

patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

7. Claims 1-3, 5, 7, 10 & 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Skinner, US 2,258,227. Figs. 2-5 show a hub assembly for a washing machine transmission, said assembly comprising:

an input shaft 31, 32;

a brake hub 25, 65, 66 comprising an opening there through, the input shaft positioned within the opening; and

an isolator insert 60 comprising a plurality of resilient legs 63, the legs extending at least partially into the brake hub opening between the hub and the input shaft. Fig. 3 shows at least one of the legs 63 including a tab (see the unnumbered screw at the end of one leg 63) configured to engage the bottom 65 of the hub.

8. Claims 1-4, 6-10 & 12-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Beardmore et al, US 6,183,230. Figs. 1-5 show a hub assembly for a washing machine transmission, said assembly comprising:

an input shaft 16;

a brake hub 30 comprising an opening there through, the input shaft positioned within the opening; and

an isolator insert 42 comprising six resilient plastic legs (in Fig. 6 one leg is indicated by the lead line for the numeral 42), the legs extending at least partially into the brake hub opening Application/Control Number: 09/480,586 Page 5

Art Unit: 3679

between the hub and the input shaft. Fig. 3 shows the legs of the insert separated from ribs on the interior surface of the hub 30. Figs. 4 & 6 show the legs (in Fig. 6 one leg is indicated by the lead line for the numeral 42) are flat at 44 and curved (at 42 in Fig. 6).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claims 4, 9 & 16 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Skinner.
  - a. Claims 4 & 9. Fig. 4 shows three legs 63, but does not expressly disclose six legs. However making six legs would have been obvious at the time of applicant's invention, since such a modification would have involved a mere duplication of parts. The duplication of parts for a multiplied effect has no patentable significance and is considered well within the purview and obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art. St. Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis Co., Inc. 193 USPQ 8, 11 (7th Cir. 1977).
  - b. Claim 16. Skinner shows all the limitations of the claim, but does not expressly disclose the insert 60 comprising plastic. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the ring so that it comprises plastic, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the

Application/Control Number: 09/480,586 Page 6

Art Unit: 3679

art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416.

### Conclusion

11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. McCabe and Woodin show hub assemblies for washing machines. Lytton shows an isolator insert..

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Greg Binda whose telephone number is (571) 272-7077. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30 am to 7:00 pm with alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel P. Stodola can be reached on (571) 272-7087. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

GREGORY J. BINDA PRIMARY EXAMINER